system about identification information of the first base station and said first identification information.

### REMARKS

### I. Status of the Claims

Claims 1-5, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17 and 22-28 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20 and 21 have been canceled without prejudice, claims 1-5, 9, 10, 12, 16 and 17 have been amended, and new claims 22-28 added. Claims 1, 9, 16, 22, 25 and 27 are independent claims.

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's citation of statutory authority as a basis for claim rejections.

## II. Claim to Priority

In April 2001, a certified copy of the Japanese priority document was mailed to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and a postcard stamped to show receipt at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office mail room was returned to us. In the Office Action, the Examiner has noted that the priority document is not in the file, which has been confirmed in a telephone conversation between the undersigned and the Examiner. Applicants have requested another certified copy of the Japanese priority document and will forward the copy when it is available.

# III. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner has rejected claims 10 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as having insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "wireless base station." Applicant has amended claim 10, and cancelled claim 13 and respectfully submits that the amendment has overcome the basis for the rejection. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

PATENT

Docket No.: 36409-00500

## IV. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-4, 9-13 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Shinomiya. The Examiner has rejected claims 5-8 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Shinomiya; rejected claims 12-14 and 16-17 as being unpatentable over Shinomiya in view of Kao; and rejected claims 18-21 as being unpatentable over Shinomiya in view of Kao and Anttila.

The inventions claimed in the independent claims 1, 9 and 16 are characterized in allocating second identification information for temporarily specifying one of the plurality of terminals to the one of the plurality of terminals which is specified by first identification information. Two kinds of identification information for specifying the terminal are provided, and one of these is temporal identification information that is allocated by the base station.

In contrast, the cited reference of Shinomiya only discloses CS-ID (cell station identification) for specifying cell station. The CS-ID, however, does not specify the terminal. Moreover, Kao and Anttila do not suggest the above feature of the invention. Accordingly, applicant submits that neither Shinomiya, Kao nor Anttila disclose or suggest the inventions of claims 1, 9 and 16.

The inventions of new independent claims 22, 25 and 27 are characterized in releasing the allocation to the terminal. Applicants respectfully submit that these features are not disclosed or suggested by Shinomiya, Kao or Anttila, and accordingly the inventions of claims 22, 25 and 27 are allowable over those references.

### V. Request for Reconsideration

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims pending in this application are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of the rejection and allowance is

8

PATENT

Docket No.: 36409-00500

requested. If a conference would assist in placing this application in better condition for allowance, the undersigned would appreciate a telephone call at the number indicated.

Respectfully submitted,

Chris L. Holm

Reg. No.: 39,227

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

February 5, 2003

Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza New York, NY 10005 (212) 530-5000 / (212) 530-5219 (facsimile)